## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

### **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United Stats of America

٧.

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

are establ	olished:	th the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts (Check one or both, as applicable.)
		and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant trial in this case.
		onderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending is case.
u	iiai iii li	PART I FINDINGS OF FACT
		The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
□ (2	2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from mprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.
□ (4	4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in²
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings
<b>(</b>	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
	(4)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

# PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

The defendant is not the defendant, at the lift released herein, Enforcement, placing the defendant has a to assure his/her fut to a	
The defendant is not the defendant, at the lift released herein, Enforcement, placing the defendant has a to assure his/her fut to a	
The defendant is not the defendant, at the lift released herein, Enforcement, placing the defendant has a to assure his/her fut to a	
The defendant, at the lift released herein, Enforcement, placing the defendant has a to assure his/her fut.  The defendant has a to assure his/her fut.  The defendant lives.  The defendant is an substantial family tie.  The defendant atter.  The defendant is fac.	derance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
☐ If released herein, Enforcement, placing ☐ The defendant has a to assure his/her fut ☐ The defendant has a to assure his/her fut ☐ The defendant lives ☐ The defendant is a substantial family tie ☐ There is a record of ☐ The defendant atter ☐ The defendant is factoric fa	ot a citizen of the United States.
Enforcement, placing The defendant has a to assure his/her fut The defendant has a to assure his/her fut The defendant lives The defendant is a substantial family tie There is a record of The defendant atter The defendant is factoric defendant is factoric.	ne time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
The defendant has a to assure his/her fut  The defendant has a The defendant lives  The defendant is a substantial family tie  There is a record of  The defendant atter  The defendant is fac-	the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs ng him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
to assure his/her fut  The defendant has  The defendant lives  The defendant is a substantial family tie  There is a record of  The defendant atter  The defendant is fac-	no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
☐ The defendant lives ☐ The defendant is a substantial family tie ☐ There is a record of ☐ The defendant atter ☐ The defendant is fac	no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated ture appearance.
The defendant is a substantial family tie.  There is a record of The defendant atter.  The defendant is factor.	a prior criminal history.
substantial family tie  There is a record of  The defendant atter  The defendant is factoric.	and works in Mexico.
☐ The defendant atter ☐ The defendant is fac	n amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has es to Mexico.
The defendant is fac	f prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
<del></del> ·	mpted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant does not disp	cing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	pute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
In addition:	

time of the hearing in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 8-1-11

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge